



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,434

03/03/2005

Sadaaki Hirai

123048

3004

25944

7590

03/11/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

LIAO, DIANA J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/526,434	Applicant(s) HIRAI, SADA AKI	
	Examiner DIANA J. LIAO	Art Unit 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Diana Liao (Exmr).

(3) Gang Luo (Attorney).

(2) Ngoc-Yen Nguyen (Primary Exmr).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Locker, et al.(US 6,077,483).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposal to amend claim 34 cancelling item (v) was discussed. Examiner agreed that the proposed amendment rendered the current rejection moot but the patentability of the other species of the claim is inconclusive since they were not examined. Certain claim language ("organic material which disappears by burning") was discussed and applicant was advised to clarify whether or not it is a positive process limitation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Diana J. Liao/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required